

City Council Meeting Minutes

**October 2, 2023
City Hall, Council Chambers
749 Main Street
6:00 PM**

Call to Order – Mayor Maloney called the meeting to order at 6:00 p.m. **Roll Call** was taken and the following members were present:

City Council: *Mayor Dennis Maloney
Mayor Pro Tem Deborah Fahey
Councilmember Caleb Dickinson
Councilmember Barbara Hamlington
Councilmember Dietrich Hoefner
Councilmember Chris Leh
Councilmember Maxine Most (attended remotely)*

Staff Present: *Jeff Durbin, City Manager (attended remotely)
Rob Zuccaro, Community Development Director
Amelia Brackett Hogstad, Senior Planner
Rafael Gutierrez, Police Chief
Meredyth Muth, City Clerk*

Others Present: *Kathleen Kelly, City Attorney*

APPROVAL OF AGENDA

Mayor Maloney called for changes to the agenda and hearing none asked for a motion.

Motion: Mayor Pro Tem Fahey moved to approve the agenda, seconded by Councilmember Leh. **Vote:** All in favor.

PUBLIC COMMENTS ON ITEMS NOT ON THE AGENDA AND ON THE CONSENT AGENDA

Josh Cooperman, Louisville, stated the City Council should have taken input from the Boards and Commissions for the 2024 Work Plan as a way to work more directly with the boards.

City of Louisville

*City Council 749 Main Street Louisville CO 80027
303.335.4536 (phone) www.LouisvilleCO.gov*

APPROVAL OF THE CONSENT AGENDA

Mayor Maloney asked for changes to the consent agenda; hearing none he asked for a motion. **Motion:** Mayor Pro Tem Fahey moved to approve the consent agenda, seconded by Councilmember Dickinson. **Vote:** All in favor.

- A. *Approval of Bills***
- B. *Approval of City Council Special Meeting for October 10, 2023.***
- C. *Approval of Resolution No. 56, Series 2023 – A Resolution Approving an Amendment to the Annual Budget for the Louisville Revitalization Commission and Appropriating Additional Expenditures for the Fiscal Year 2023***
- D. *Approval of Resolutions of Support for Boulder County Ballot Measures***
- E. *Resolution No. 57, Series 2023 – A Resolution of the Louisville City Council in Support of Boulder County Issue 1A at the November 7, 2023 Election Concerning Open Space Sales and Use Tax Extension and Revenue Change***
- F. *Resolution No. 58, Series 2023 – A Resolution of the Louisville City Council in Support of Boulder County Issue 1B at the November 7, 2023 Election Concerning Affordable Housing Sales and Use Tax***

COUNCIL INFORMATIONAL COMMENTS ON PERTINENT ITEMS NOT ON THE AGENDA

Mayor Pro Tem Fahey stated October 7 is National Ageism Awareness Day. She also noted that Commuting Solutions is working on regional issues. She noted ballots for the Ward 2 recall are available at City Hall for eligible voters until 7 pm on October 3.

CITY MANAGER'S REPORT

City Manager Jeff Durbin stated he is attending the International City Manager's conference. While there he gave a presentation on the Marshall Fire that was very well received.

REGULAR BUSINESS

ORDINANCE NO. 1858, SERIES 2023 – AN ORDINANCE AMENDING TITLE 17 OF THE LOUISVILLE MUNICIPAL CODE BY THE ADDITION OF A NEW CHAPTER 17.26 TITLED OUTDOOR LIGHTING – 2nd READING, PUBLIC HEARING (advertised *Daily Camera* 9/10/23)

Mayor Maloney introduced the item and opened the public hearing.

Planner Brackett Hogstad stated this item was on the City Council Work Plans in 2021 and 2023. Put simply “Dark Sky” lighting is light that is directed down and not up towards the sky. It is a warmer light that is better for wildlife, sleep patterns, and safety and glare. This targeted lighting is designed to have light where you want it and not where you don’t. She reviewed the benefits of dark sky lighting including reducing light pollution, security, reduced energy use, and helping wildlife.

She reviewed the outreach staff has done including multiple iterations that have been reviewed by the public and the business community. Staff received public comments and surveys from many people.

The proposed ordinance includes lighting regulations for both residential and nonresidential areas; addressed both public and private lighting; includes requirements for fully shielded fixtures, caps lumens, and down zones; has trespass limitations; and has exceptions for things such as holiday lights and decor.

Brackett Hogstad stated the ordinance is written to give staff ways to review and measure for compliance. Safety was also considered when determining the minimum and maximum foot candles. The carve out for decorative and party lighting had a mandatory shut off time and lighting as to be near human activity areas.

She stated the ordinance would apply to all new construction and replacement lighting. In addition, existing lighting would be required to come into compliance when 25% or more of a residential or commercial structure is altered; when a commercial addition is 25% or more of the total square footage; and for any size residential addition.

She stated that this was written to address the concerns of business community and the costs of new lighting. Also it is designed to be administered through the existing building permit process. Staff tried to create a program that is doable without adding additional staff and one that is understandable for people.

She stated that downtown is not exempt from this ordinance, however it is exempt from some specific commercial regulations. This is due to the smaller lots and the specific built environment which makes enforcing light trespass nearly impossible.

Staff recommends approval of the ordinance.

Public Comments

John Webb, Louisville, stated he is in favor of the ordinance to help with sleep disturbance and glare, but specifically to benefit the nighttime insect population. Lighting has a major impact on insects and wildlife and dark sky rules help to reverse the trend.

Cathern Smith, Louisville, urged Council to approve faster implementation for darker residential skies. She would like less glare and light shining on neighbors’ properties.

She would like the darkest skies possible and suggested phasing it in over five years for residential properties, pressuring Xcel to install dark sky compliant street lights, and turning off lights during bird migration times.

Josh Cooperman, Louisville, stated this ordinance has lots of benefits and no draw backs. He stated there are some misconceptions that this reduces security and that the upgrades are required immediately even if people are not increasing home size. He suggested lowering the maximum color temperature and to regulate street lights. He would like to see a shorter amortization period to require all new lighting to be compliant.

Sherry Sommer, Louisville, stated 25% may be the wrong threshold for commercial buildings. She would like to see an education campaign on this issue.

Rick Kron, DBA President, stated his opposition to the ordinance. He stated it is ineffective as it is not being done regionally; it is a major cost for business owners; it is an impediment to filling vacant businesses; and he has concerns for the sight impaired. He urged a no vote.

Andy Johnson, Louisville, stated this is a great ordinance and promotes good architectural value, He stated dark sky does not mean less light, it means a better use of light. He urged a yes vote.

Rick Ratajczyk, Louisville, stated he supports the ordinance. He stated the new street lights on McCaslin are much brighter than the old one and the lights trespasses into the neighboring homes. He would like to see shields installed on the street lights to direct the light downward.

Councilmember Hoefner asked what constitutes an addition for a residential trigger. Bracket Hogstad stated any additional square footage would trigger the requirement as would taking down part of a home and rebuilding it.

Mayor Maloney asked if replacing a roof or window/siding maintenance would trigger the requirement. Bracket Hogstad stated roof or window maintenance would not trigger the requirement, residing would if it is more than 25% of the square footage.

Councilmember Hamlington asked why residential light trespassing isn't included. Brackett Hogstad stated enforcement would be complaint based and staff is trying to avoid writing that into the code and instead would do an education based program. Also, it is very hard to enforce and difficult to determine the source of lighting in a residential area.

Mayor Pro Tem Fahey asked if the City can enforce rules on Xcel's street lights. Director Zuccaro stated the City would have to pay Xcel for lower street poles.

Councilmember Dickinson stated he is concerned we would be doing a lot of work but wouldn't see a huge change as our neighbors are not doing this, He thinks that without residential enforcement we won't see a big difference. He would like to include incentives to get people to change out their lights sooner than later.

Councilmember Leh stated he is concerned about the cost to businesses to implement. He would like to see more incentives to help people do this. Brackett Hogstad stated staff plans to create an incentive/rebate program once they know what the regulations will be.

Councilmember Leh asked what the cost of enforcement would be. Brackett Hogstad stated that as written it could be done by existing staff. A full education program will be created if the ordinance is approved.

Public Comments – None.

Councilmember Most stated she likes some things in the ordinance but it may make any real differences and if that is the case it may not be worth requiring people to do it.

Councilmember Hoefner stated we might consider phasing this in with design guidelines before we impose standards. We might like more time to see what the impacts and costs are before we implement it.

Councilmember Hamlington noted that there are benefits even if we don't meet 100% dark sky lighting goals. She would like to see movement towards a goal, progress is important. The delta between new lighting with or without dark skies is not known. She stated she likes the ordinance and it makes good progress which is better than doing nothing at this point.

Mayor Maloney stated that the estimate that a quarter of homes already meet this goal is due to a previous City program. He stated his concern is the cost impacts to businesses. He stated this may be a time to take action on principal even knowing surrounding communities may not join in. He supports the ordinance as written.

Mayor Pro Tem Fahey stated she also supports it as written. There are many things this will help with and it is a good first step. Incentives can be the next step.

Councilmember Hoefner asked for this to go into effect with a slightly longer time frame to get people in process a chance to come into compliance.

Councilmember Dickinson clarified this does not affect current buildings that are not taking actions that triggers these rules. He stated he doesn't see a major negative impact to the community but it also doesn't have a huge positive impact either. He stated he is willing to support it but is concerned the next steps on incentives and addressing parking lighting will get done.

Councilmember Leh stated there is good to come of this incremental approach, but he doesn't like the idea that we are passing something and then we plan to do incentives later. We are getting a lot of feedback that economic vitality is the thing people are worried about. This is not a big deal for new businesses but it would be for any business trying to expand.

Councilmember Most agreed with Councilmember Leh and Councilmember Dickinson. If we are committed to this, we need to be sure it is not penalizing businesses who want to expand. She stated the ordinance is something we want to do but this version may not be it.

Councilmember Hamlington stated perfection shouldn't be the enemy of the good, she asked staff to focus on education items should this pass. She would like continued education for the families rebuilding and new residential projects that are in the works. She would like education and look at using the Business Assistance Program to help businesses in these efforts.

Councilmember Dickinson supports an extension for those people almost ready to submit a permit.

Mayor Maloney closed the public hearing.

MOTION: Mayor Pro Tem Fahey moved to approve the ordinance with an amendment that it not go into effect until January 1, 2024; seconded by Councilmember Hamlington.

Councilmember Hoefner made friendly amendment to extend the implementation to March 1, 2024. Motitioner and seconder accepted the amendment.

Councilmember Leh offered a friendly amendment to change the definition of substantial alteration to 50% or more of the exterior wall area. Mayor Pro Tem Fahey did not accept the friendly amendment.

Councilmember Leh made a substitute motion to pass the ordinance with the March 1 implementation and changing the definition of substantial addition to 50% or more of exterior wall area or materials for commercial. Councilmember Hoefner seconded.

Councilmember Hoefner stated he would like the same percentage for residential and commercial. He offered a friendly amendment to include 30% for residential and for commercial so it is consistent. Councilmember Leh did not accept the amendment.

Councilmember Leh restated his substitute motion to pass the ordinance with a March 1, 2024 implementation date and a definition of substantial addition at 50% for commercial properties. Motion failed for lack of a second.

Mayor Maloney clarified the first motion is to pass the ordinance with an amendment to implement on March 1, 2024.

VOTE: Motion failed on a 3-3 vote. Yes votes were Councilmember Hamlington, Mayor Pro Tem Fahey, and Councilmember Dickinson. No votes were Councilmember Hoefner, Councilmember Leh, and Mayor Maloney. Councilmember Most abstained.

ORDINANCE NO. 1859, SERIES 2023 – AN ORDINANCE AMENDING TITLE 17 OF THE LOUISVILLE MUNICIPAL CODE BY CREATING A NEW SECTION 17.16.350 ESTABLISHING PROCEDURES AND CRITERIA FOR A CONCEPT PLAN REVIEW – 2nd READING, PUBLIC HEARING (advertised *Daily Camera* 9/10/23)

Mayor Maloney introduced the item and opened the public hearing.

Community Development Director Zuccaro stated the City Council 2023 Work Plan includes consideration of creating a Concept Plan Review process. This process would provide an optional preliminary step in the development review process for initial non-binding feedback for more complex applications prior to completing a full application. This could help identify particular areas of interest or concern related to city policies, plans and ordinances; it should not replace any City policies or standards for development. Such a process may provide clarification on policy interpretation or conflicting policy.

This process would be available to specific development types including General Development Plans; Planned Unit Developments with multiple lots or height or density waivers; Special Review Uses; Comprehensive Plan Amendments specific to a certain property; and Zoning Map Amendments or Text Amendments specific to a certain property.

The process is anticipated to take 1-2 months. Staff would not review for code or policy compliance, but may provide summaries of plans, policies, and codes relevant to the project. Applicant submits a narrative, preliminary plans, site data, information on surrounding conditions, and character sketches. Public notice would be completed for the Council meeting date. Council members have the opportunity to ask questions and make comments individually but there would not be votes; additionally, Council should not prejudge any part of the proposal.

Director Zuccaro reviewed some similar programs in other cities. The Planning Commission recommend approval of the ordinance as drafted. Staff also recommends approval.

Councilmember Dickinson asked why all PUDs are not included in this. Community Development Director Zuccaro stated this process is for the larger more complicated PUDs. The vast majority of single lot PUDs are meeting criteria or only asking for a few

waivers or site design issues so staff does not feel that would be a good use of Council's time.

Councilmember Hamlington asked how many of these staff anticipates having on a Council agenda each year. Community Development Director Zuccaro stated it might be more in the first year before the Comprehensive Plan is updated, but generally he stated it might be four to six per year.

Public Comments

Josh Cooperman, Louisville, stated he is supportive of this review. It is a benefit to both developers and residents to get a preview of proposed developments.

Councilmember Dickinson stated he thinks this is a huge step forward in interfacing with the development community and that it be a part of the public process.

Councilmember Leh this is a good tool for developers and the residents.
Councilmember Hoefner agreed.

Councilmember Most stated this is very positive and makes the process smoother.

Councilmember Hamlington stated this is long overdue and will position the City to be a partner with developers and businesses.

Mayor Maloney stated he likes the ordinance and this will only affect those projects that are more complicated and allow everyone to see what makes sense and what the Council is interested in.

Public Comments – None.

MOTION: Councilmember Leh moved to approve the ordinance; seconded by Councilmember Dickinson.

Mayor Maloney closed the public hearing.

VOTE: Motion carried by unanimous roll call vote. Mayor Pro Tem Fahey absent.

ORDINANCE NO. 1860, SERIES 2023 – AN ORDINANCE REPEALING THE PROHIBITION ON PIT BULLS CONTAINED IN SECTION 6.12.160 OF THE LOUISVILLE MUNICIPAL CODE – 2nd READING, PUBLIC HEARING (advertised *Daily Camera* 9/10/23)

Mayor Maloney introduced the item and opened the public hearing.

City Clerk Muth stated the proposed ordinance would remove from the City's code the prohibition on the ownership, possession, keeping, exercising control over, maintaining, harboring, transporting, or sale of pit bull dogs within the City. Section 6.12.020 of the code remains and may be used to prosecute the owner of any vicious animal, including dogs.

This ban was originally instituted in 1994. Municipal Court staff reports that tickets are rarely issued and are often found to be unenforceable without a genetic test of the dog. Additionally, as far as staff is aware Louisville is the only municipality in the state to continue to have a breed specific ban. Current information and practice is that breed specific legislation is not effective. It is an owner's responsibility to make sure their dog is safe and well trained, regardless of breed.

Each year the City Clerk's office gets multiple questions about this ban from people who are moving here or live in Louisville and want to adopt a pit bull or pit bull mix. Staff recommends approval of the ordinance as presented.

Public Comments – None.

Councilmember Most supports this but would like to see additional enforcement of off leash dogs and dog licensing.

Councilmember Dickinson is very happy to see this come forward. There are other things we can tackle as well, but this is one that we can address easily. He is looking forward to this repeal.

Public Comments – None.

MOTION: Councilmember Dickinson moved to approve the ordinance; seconded by Councilmember Hoefner.

Mayor Maloney closed the public hearing.

VOTE: Motion carried by unanimous roll call vote.

**ORDINANCE NO. 1861, SERIES 2023 – AN ORDINANCE AMENDING SECTION 2.67.020 OF THE LOUISVILLE MUNICIPAL CODE REDUCING THE MEMBERSHIP ON THE RECREATION ADVISORY BOARD – 2nd READING, PUBLIC HEARING
(advertised *Daily Camera* 9/10/23)**

Mayor Maloney introduced the item and opened the public hearing.

City Clerk Muth stated that at the June 20, 2023 City Council meeting members discussed the roles and duties of the City's various boards and commissions. Council gave direction to staff to standardize the size of most boards at seven members. To that

end, the proposed ordinance would reduce the size of the Recreation Advisory Board from the existing nine members to seven members. The board currently has a number of vacancies so reducing the size will not affect the terms of any current members.

Staff recommends approval of the ordinance as presented.

Public Comments – none.

Mayor Maloney noted this change was also recommended by the member of this board.

Public Comments – none.

MOTION: Councilmember Hoefner moved to approve the ordinance; seconded by Mayor Pro Tem Fahey.

Mayor Maloney closed the public hearing.

VOTE: Motion carried by unanimous roll call vote.

ARTS & CULTURE ADVISORY BOARD

ORDINANCE NO. 1862, SERIES 2023 – AN ORDINANCE AMENDING CHAPTER 2.20 OF THE LOUISVILLE MUNICIPAL CODE CONCERNING THE ARTS & CULTURE ADVISORY BOARD – 2nd READING, PUBLIC HEARING (advertised *Daily Camera* 9/10/23)

RESOLUTION NO. 59, SERIES 2023 – A RESOLUTION CONCERNING MEMBERSHIP AND DUTIES OF THE ARTS & CULTURE ADVISORY BOARD

Mayor Maloney introduced the item and opened the public hearing.

City Clerk Muth stated that at the June 20, 2023 City Council meeting members discussed the roles and duties of the City's various boards and commissions. Council gave direction to staff to clarify the duties of certain boards and rename some to clearly identify them as advisory in nature. The proposed ordinance renames the Cultural Council as the Arts & Culture Advisory Board and codifies it in the municipal code.

The corresponding resolution establishes the powers, duties, and responsibilities of the Arts & Culture Advisory Board.

Staff recommends approval of the ordinance and resolution as written.

Public Comments – none.

Public Comments – none.

MOTION: Councilmember Dickinson moved to approve the ordinance; seconded by Councilmember Hoefner.

Mayor Maloney closed the public hearing.

VOTE: Motion carried by unanimous roll call vote.

MOTION: Councilmember Hoefner moved to approve the resolution; seconded by Councilmember Dickinson.

VOTE: Motion passed by unanimous voice vote.

HISTORICAL MUSEUM ADVISORY BOARD

ORDINANCE NO. 1863, SERIES 2023 – AN ORDINANCE AMENDING CHAPTER 2.20 OF THE LOUISVILLE MUNICIPAL CODE CONCERNING THE HISTORICAL MUSEUM ADVISORY BOARD – 2nd READING, PUBLIC HEARING (advertised *Daily Camera* 9/10/23)

RESOLUTION NO. 60, SERIES 2023 – A RESOLUTION CONCERNING MEMBERSHIP AND DUTIES OF THE HISTORICAL MUSEUM ADVISORY BOARD

Mayor Maloney introduced the item and opened the public hearing.

City Clerk Muth stated that at the June 20, 2023 City Council meeting members discussed the roles and duties of the City's various boards and commissions. Council gave direction to staff to clarify the duties of certain boards and rename some to clearly identify them as advisory in nature. The proposed ordinance renames the Historical Commission to the History Museum Advisory Board and codifies it in the municipal code.

The corresponding resolution establishes the powers, duties, and responsibilities of the History Museum Advisory Board.

Staff recommends approval of the ordinance and resolution as written.

Public Comments – none.

Public Comments – none.

MOTION: Mayor Pro Tem Fahey moved to approve the ordinance; seconded by Councilmember Hoefner.

Mayor Maloney closed the public hearing.

VOTE: Motion carried by unanimous roll call vote.

MOTION: Councilmember Dickinson moved to approve the resolution; seconded by Councilmember Hoefner.

VOTE: Motion passed by unanimous voice vote.

ORDINANCE NO. 1864, SERIES 2023 – AN ORDINANCE APPROVING A REZONING OF LOT 10, BLOCK 1 AND OUTLOT 1 OF DELO LOFTS FINAL SUBDIVISION PLAT FROM COMMERCIAL COMMUNITY (CC) TO MIXED-USE RESIDENTIAL (MU-R) AND APPROVING AN AMENDMENT TO EXHIBIT A, LAND USE PLAN EXHIBIT, REFERENCED IN LOUISVILLE MUNICIPAL CODE CHAPTER 17.14 – MIXED USE ZONE DISTRICTS – 1ST READING – SET PUBLIC HEARING 10/17/23

Mayor Maloney introduced the ordinance by title.

MOTION: Mayor Maloney moved to approve the ordinance on first reading and set the public hearing for October 17, 2023; seconded by Councilmember Leh.

VOTE: Motion passed by unanimous voice vote.

ORDINANCE NO. 1865, SERIES 2023 – AN ORDINANCE AMENDING CHAPTER 3.23 OF THE LOUISVILLE MUNICIPAL CODE REGARDING THE CITY'S TAX AND FEE BUSINESS ASSISTANCE PROGRAM – 1ST READING – SET PUBLIC HEARING 10/17/23

Mayor Maloney introduced the ordinance by title.

MOTION: Mayor Maloney moved to approve the ordinance on first reading and set the public hearing for October 17, 2023; seconded by Councilmember Most.

VOTE: Motion passed by unanimous voice vote.

EXECUTIVE SESSION

PENDING LITIGATION

(Louisville Charter, Section 5-2(d) – Authorized Topics – Consultation with an attorney representing the City with respect to pending litigation, and C.R.S. 24-6-402(4)(b))

Mayor Maloney introduced the executive session.

City Attorney Kelly stated the City Manager and City Attorney have requested the executive session for the purpose of consultation with an attorney representing the City

with respect to pending litigation pursuant to Section 5-2(d) of the City's Home Rule Charter and C.R.S. § 24-6-402(4)(b)..

City Clerk Muth read Section 2.90.050 of the Louisville Municipal Code, which outlines the topics permitted for discussion in an executive session.

City Attorney Kelly stated Section 5-2(d) of the Home Rule Charter authorizes an executive session for the purpose of discussing pending litigation with regards to the Marshall Fire an executive session for these purposes is also authorized by the Open Meetings Law, Section 24-6-402(4)(b) of the Colorado Revised Statutes.

MOTION: Mayor Maloney moved to go into executive session for the purpose of discussing pending litigation and that the executive session also include City Manager Jeff Durbin and City Attorney Kelly. Seconded by Mayor Pro Tem Fahey.

VOTE: Motion passed by unanimous roll call vote.

Council adjourned to executive session at 8:47 pm.

The Council meeting reconvened at 9:56 pm.

REPORT – DISCUSSION/DIRECTION/ACTION – PENDING LITIGATION AND

City Attorney Kelly reported that as noted on the Meeting Agenda, the executive session was for the purpose of discussing pending litigation, and the City Council had such discussion. Staff requests the City Council provide direction or take action this evening.

MOTION: Councilmember Hoefner moved to authorize the Mayor to sign a letter informing the Colorado Division of Homeland Security and Emergency Management that the City does not authorize it to respond to FEMA on its behalf with its conclusion regarding whether it is commercially reasonable to pursue reimbursement from a third party with respect to the Marshall Fire. Seconded by Councilmember Leh.

Councilmember Hoefner stated the State is asking for a response to a request from the State to respond to FEMA as to whether we feel it is commercially reasonable to seek reimbursement from a third party, in this case Xcel, for FEMA costs for the Marshall Fire. This motion to inform the State that we do feel it may be commercially reasonable to do so.

Councilmember Dickinson stated he is concerned we could spend a lot of time and resources on this case which may be difficult to prove and not be to our benefit in the end if we have to pay back FEMA. It could cost us a lot and not gain us much.

Councilmember Leh stated that if we don't do this FEMA could come back to us for reimbursement if there is evidence of fault and we didn't seek restitution. He stated he supports the motion.

Mayor Maloney agreed it is commercially reasonable and we need to look at the long view. This could be many years to reach the end so it will be a burden but if we are thinking about what we can do to mitigate wildfires in Louisville this is one step we need to take.

Councilmember Dickinson stated that FEMA did give the City a large sum of money and if money can be gained and it goes back to FEMA to support another community it would be worth it.

VOTE: Motion carried by unanimous roll call vote.

CITY ATTORNEY'S REPORT

None.

COUNCIL COMMENTS, COMMITTEE REPORTS, AND IDENTIFICATION OF FUTURE AGENDA ITEMS

Councilmember Dickinson asked if staff is working on use tax rebates for those homes with smoke damage from the Marshall Fire. He would like to see an ordinance brought back soon. City Manager Jeff Durbin stated it is moving forward and if Council would like to prioritize this we can.

Councilmember Most would like to extend the use tax rebates to anyone with fire damage, not just full rebuilds.

ADJOURN

Members adjourned at 9:48 pm.

Dennis Maloney, Mayor

Meredyth Muth, City Clerk