

# ***City Council Meeting Minutes***

**October 18, 2016  
City Hall, Council Chambers  
749 Main Street  
6:00 PM**

**Call to Order** – Mayor Muckle called the meeting to order at 6:00 p.m.

**Roll Call** was taken and the following members were present:

**City Council:** *Mayor Robert Muckle, Mayor Pro Tem Jeff Lipton, Councilmembers Jay Keany, Chris Leh, Susan Loo, Dennis Maloney, and Ashley Stolzmann*

**Staff Present:** *Malcolm Fleming, City Manager  
Heather Balsler, Deputy City Manager  
Kevin Watson, Finance Director  
Joe Stevens, Director of Parks & Recreation  
Aaron DeJong, Director of Economic Development  
Kurt Kowar, Director of Public Works  
Kevin Watson, Director of Finance  
Rob Zuccaro, Director of Planning & Building Safety  
Chris Neves, Director of Information Technology  
Beth Barrett, Director of Library & Museum Services  
Dave Hayes, Police Chief  
Kathleen Hix, Director of Human Resources  
Scott Robinson, Senior Planner  
Meredyth Muth, City Clerk*

**Others Present:** *Sam Light, City Attorney*

## **PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE**

All rose for the pledge of allegiance.

## **APPROVAL OF AGENDA**

Mayor Muckle called for changes to the agenda.

## **APPROVAL OF THE CONSENT AGENDA**

Councilmember Maloney made a motion to move item 8D Ordinance No 1728, Series 2016 for first reading to the consent agenda. Mayor Muckle moved to approve the consent agenda with item 8D added. Seconded by Councilmember Stolzmann. All in favor.

- A. Approval of Bills
- B. Approval of Minutes: September 27, 2016; October 4, 2016
- C. Approval of City Council November and December Meeting Schedule
- D. Approval of Special Meeting October 25, 2016 at 6 PM for an Executive Session
- E. Approval of Resolution No. 49, Series 2016 – A Resolution Approving an Agreement with the Urban Drainage and Flood Control District for Drainage and Flood Control Improvements for Bullhead Gulch
- F. Award Bid for 2016 Storm System Maintenance Project to Colorado Civil Infrastructure
- G. Resolution No. 50, Series 2016 – A Resolution Approving a Business Assistance Agreement with Eleanor, LLC for an Economic Development Project in the City of Louisville
- H. Ordinance No. 1728, Series 2016 – An Ordinance Approving the Vacation of a 20-Foot Wide Utility Easement on Lot 1A, Centennial Valley Parcel H, Third Filing – 1<sup>st</sup> Reading – Set the Public Hearing 11/01/16

#### **PUBLIC COMMENTS ON ITEMS NOT ON THE AGENDA**

Kip Heuertz, 1827 Eisenhower Drive, representing the Ponderosa Pines HOA. Stated the HOA's support for a lighted crosswalk at South Boulder Road and Eisenhower. Residents can't cross South Boulder Road safely and would like a lit crosswalk.

#### **COUNCIL INFORMATIONAL COMMENTS ON PERTINENT ITEMS NOT ON THE AGENDA**

None.

#### **CITY MANAGER'S REPORT**

None.

#### **REGULAR BUSINESS**

---

---

#### **PROCLAMATION UNITED NATIONS DAY**

Mayor read the proclamation and presented it to Zuza Bohley of the United Nations Association of Boulder County.

## **PUBLIC HEARING ON CITY MANAGER'S PROPOSED 2017-2018 BUDGET AND 2017 – 2021 CAPITAL IMPROVEMENTS PLAN**

City Manager Fleming introduced the public hearing on the budget noting this is the culmination of many meetings over the past year. He reviewed the priorities that have been included for funding in the final proposal and those priorities which are not included in the final proposal. The proposal reflects the direction staff received from the City Council in previous meetings.

City Manager Fleming reviewed the major capital projects and general fund projects in the proposal. With all of these priorities included, the General Fund reserves are still in excess of twenty percent of the proposed expenditures and the Capital Fund is above \$2.7 million.

City Manager Fleming noted the new projections show an additional \$750,000 in funding in the CIP budget. He stated this could be used for additional capital projects if Council wants to pursue such action.

City Manager Fleming reviewed the Open Space & Parks Fund and how the funds are allocated. He noted very little of the Open Space & Parks Fund is currently being spent on acquisition as there are currently no property owners willing to sell to the City. He noted Open Space and Parks operations and administration make up the bulk of the expenditures in that fund.

City Manager Fleming reviewed the Golf Fund noting what would happen to the Fund if the debt service to the Utility Fund is paid off from the General Fund versus if it is not. Without paying off that debt, the Golf Fund is not likely to be sustainable if it needs to pay for major capital items in the longer term and also pay the debt service. He noted the current proposed budget has higher projections for golf revenue than he is comfortable with and those will be reduced for the November adoption.

City Manager Fleming reviewed the multiple sustainability actions the City has taken in the last six years. Councilmember Leh added that the City made a contribution to the Kestrel Housing project which will be using geothermal energy.

### Public Comments

Debbie Fahey, 1118 Enclave Circle, thanked staff for highlighting the list of sustainability work the City has completed.

Connor Long, 1931 Eisenhower Drive, spoke in favor of a lighted pedestrian crossing at Eisenhower and South Boulder Road. He noted the sun is often in the eyes of drivers making the road even more dangerous to cross. A crossing would improve safety.

Mayor Muckle asked if there is enough revenue to fund the requested senior resource naturalist. City Manager Fleming stated it could be funded if Council is comfortable with drawing down reserves in the short term until revenues increase or increasing the transfer from the General Fund to the Open Space Fund.

Councilmember Stolzmann stated she would fund this position by moving the playground replacement expenditures from the Conservation Trust Fund rather than the from the Open Space and Parks Fund. This would be sufficient to fund the resources manager.

Councilmember Maloney stated his concern with using funds for capital from an operations fund. Councilmember Stolzmann suggested the Conservation Fund does also fund operations.

Mayor Pro Tem Lipton asked City Manager Fleming if he is comfortable with the projection information coming from the new software system. City Manager Fleming and Director Watson both stated they are comfortable with the information for 2017-2018. City Manager Fleming noted the information for five years out is still not clear.

Mayor Pro Tem Lipton stated he is concerned the wage and merit increases for 2017 are not sustainable in the long term. Mayor Pro Tem Lipton noted the 2018 numbers do not include any additional positions that may still be requested in 2018 and he is concerned the wage increases are too high to maintain in the long run.

Mayor Pro Tem Lipton stated the proposed budget reflects the priorities and goals of Council and he would support it as presented. He moved it be approved as presented on November 1.

Councilmember Stolzmann gave a friendly amendment that the Golf Fund items be updated with what had been proposed this evening including the updated forecasts and the debt payoff from the General Fund. Councilmember Loo seconded the motion as amended.

Councilmember Leh made a friendly amendment that the South Boulder Road connection study be included in the budget. He noted the new cost is closer to \$140,000. Director Kowar stated the cost would include public outreach, review of properties and utilities, and an understanding of each possible crossing of South Boulder Road. City Manager Fleming noted this would be a \$90,000 increase from the \$50,000 that is in the proposal. Councilmember Keany seconded the friendly amendment.

Councilmember Maloney is supportive of the concept, but noted this project was added very late in the budget process and he doesn't feel he has enough information to add it now.

City Manager Fleming stated this could be added at a later date with a budget amendment. Director Kowar noted this item would still need to have a scope of work approved by the Council before finalizing the project which will give the Council another chance to discuss it.

Councilmember Stolzmann stated her support for the project as it is presented at \$50,000 and moving it forward knowing a budget amendment may be needed next year if costs are higher than what is budgeted. Councilmember Keany agreed. Members agreed it should be titled the Study of South Boulder Road Connectivity.

Councilmember Leh withdrew his amendment knowing there is support for the project at \$50,000 and a possible budget amendment if needed in 2017.

Mayor Muckle asked for a friendly amendment to the original motion adding the senior resources manager position funded as Councilmember Stolzmann suggested regarding the playground funding. Councilmember Stolzmann seconded the friendly amendment.

Mayor Muckle asked for any additional comments from the public. Seeing none, Mayor Muckle closed the public hearing.

Mayor Pro Tem Lipton stated he is concerned about funding additional positions for 2017 knowing there is only so much we can afford. He would support adding the position later in the year if there is funding.

Councilmember Stolzmann supports adding the position noting there is a dedicated tax for open space. She would like it staffed to help maintain the open space properly. She added her support for moving the playground replacement from the Conservation Trust Fund.

Councilmember Loo agreed with moving the playground expenditures to the Conservation Trust Fund. She also noted staff has prioritized the parks tech for turf maintenance as a higher priority than the senior resource manager. She added many residents speak to her about the need to manage parks at a higher level, and that residents don't make a distinction between open space and parks funding related to the tax. She stated we shouldn't add more positions in 2017 with our current revenue projections. She supports the budget as proposed.

Councilmember Leh agreed with funding the playgrounds from the Conservation Trust Fund. He asked if perhaps the resources manager could be considered in six months when we have better projections.

Mayor Muckle agreed the budget reflects the Council's priorities and goals and noted multiple departments are making sacrifices. He stated the Council can and will review the budget if projections get better. Mayor Muckle supports the resources manager position from the Open Space Fund as we need to spend more on maintaining open

space parcels. He was willing to withdraw his amendment to add the resource manager position if the seconder is willing. Councilmember Stolzmann as the seconder asked for a vote on whether or not the position should be funded in 2017.

Councilmember Maloney agreed this is the last position of the open space and parks priorities and he doesn't feel comfortable including it over other positions.

City Manager Fleming added that funding the playground equipment from the Conversation Trust Fund would deplete that Fund entirely so it would require cuts or funding from Capital Fund reserves.

Councilmember Stolzmann stated she would move some items from the Conversation Trust Fund to the Open Space Fund.

A roll call vote was taken on whether or not to include the resources manager position in the 2017 budget. The vote was 5-2 not to include it, Mayor Muckle and Councilmember Stolzmann voted to include it.

Mayor Muckle clarified the motion now stands to bring back the current version of the budget proposal as presented this evening with the Golf Fund changes and the changes to the Conservation Trust Fund. All in favor.

### **DISCUSSION/DIRECTION**

#### **DRAFT MCCASLIN BOULEVARD SMALL AREA PLAN**

Director Zuccaro introduced the item thanking everyone for their involvement in this Plan. He noted the goal is to find consensus on this Plan. He stated this is the first review of this plan and there are plans for two more meetings scheduled on November 1 and December 6. This plan area encompasses the McCaslin Corridor from US 36 to Davidson Mesa. This plan is an extension of the 2013 Comprehensive Plan, and is designed to identify how this area is to function and generate revenue for the City. The Plan is designed to mitigate traffic impacts and maintain the small town character of Louisville and should meet the vision and core community values of the City as identified in the Comprehensive Plan. This Plan is not regulatory but it is a vision document to guide capital improvements and help staff and developers understand the area and what the City wants.

Director Zuccaro stated there are 14 core community values in the Comp Plan, four of note tonight in relation to the Plan:

1. Livable Small Town Feel of the Community
2. Healthy Vibrant Sustainable Economy
3. Balanced Transportation System
4. Integrated Open Space and Trail Networks

Director Zuccaro stated the three items most in need of improvement in the area are a sense of community, sustainability, and unique commercial areas/distinctive neighborhoods. Measures of success include 6 principles:

1. Development to Meet Fiscal and Economic Goals
2. Encourage Desired Uses/Facilitate Redevelopment of Vacant Buildings
3. Improve Connectivity and Accessibility
4. Enhance Bicycle and Pedestrian Connections
5. Create Public and Private Gathering Spaces
6. Create Design Regulations that Reflect Community Vision and Promote Creative Design

Director Zuccaro noted there is the potential for development and height restrictions in the corridor. He noted under the existing zoning the majority of the areas buildings can go up to three stories for commercial, retail, and office. In the public input process there was support for limiting this to one to two stories and protecting the existing neighborhoods with appropriate transitions. This proposal recommends restricting the buildings to two stories in the transition areas adjacent to the east side residential.

Councilmember Keany asked if the existing zoning allows up to three stories and if the proposal would be to make it two stories. Director Zuccaro stated yes, the proposal is a downzoning of those areas to two stories.

Director Zuccaro stated in the public process they received a lot of input for lower buildings. He showed design concepts with one to two-story buildings that are street facing, have improved pedestrian areas, and has hard and soft landscaped public areas.

Regarding traffic, under the current zoning traffic will likely increase. However, the proposal has plans to mitigate those traffic increases. Between the two-story restrictions and adding some residential development to the area it does generate less traffic than under the current regulations. The strong system of trails and sidewalks and multi-modal options would help to decrease traffic in the area and provide opportunities for workers and residents to use the area without using a vehicle. It includes suggestions for roadway improvements to address congestion.

He stated the 2013 Comp Plan states residential uses should first be introduced next to existing residential on the east side of the plan area. The proposal includes allowing residential in this area. However, adding residential to the area would require a public process and Special Review Use approval. The 2016 Citizen Survey found both support and opposition for residential for the east side of the Plan area and also around the Bus Rapid Transit (BRT) stop in Colony Square. Adding residential can make the area more walkable, and pedestrian friendly with the right design guidelines.

Director Zuccaro noted the proposal does not preclude the existing uses continuing in perpetuity. This plan is designed to drive the process if and when the area redevelops.

Planner Robinson stated this is a public hearing on the Small Area Plan. He went over what a Small Area Plan is stating it is to translate the goals of the comprehensive plan into specifics for this area. He noted this planning process began in 2015 and there have been multiple public meetings. He reviewed the principals of the plan that both the Planning Commission and City Council approved earlier in the process.

1. Improve connectivity and accessibility while accommodating regional transportation needs.
2. Create public and private gathering spaces to meet the needs of residents, employees, and visitors.
3. Enhance bicycle and pedestrian connections to private and public uses.
4. Utilize policy and design to encourage desired uses to locate in the corridor and to facilitate the reuse or redevelopment of vacant buildings.
5. Establish design regulations to ensure development closely reflects the community's vision for the corridor while accommodating creativity in design.
6. Establish development regulations to meet the fiscal and economic goals of the City.

Planner Robinson noted there are suggested development types for the 1) edges of the area which would be larger, clustered buildings; 2) corridor areas along McCaslin Boulevard north of Cherry Street, more suburban areas; and 3) center development in the southern portion of the study area which would have a higher density of use and be more pedestrian oriented.

Planner Robinson stated the placemaking concepts incorporated for the center, entrance and edge areas create a pedestrian friendly area that is not a wall of development along McCaslin Boulevard. He showed some development possibilities, not specific recommendations, for areas of office, residential, and new commercial. He reiterated that redevelopment of these parcels with residential use would require rezoning of the properties and a special review use process/development process through the Planning Commission and City Council.

Planner Robinson stated the Citizen Survey had both support and opposition to adding residential in this area.

Planner Robinson noted the Plan includes a street improvement plan, and suggests removing a lane of traffic on McCaslin Boulevard. Even at build-out it is not justified by the traffic numbers. The roadway improvement plan includes adding a northbound lane on McCaslin Boulevard at Dillon Road to address traffic congestion. It also includes a trail improvement plan to create better access from Centennial Valley/McCaslin to Davidson Mesa.

Planner Robinson stated this proposal would not preclude big box development where it currently exists.

Planner Robinson stated the proposed development allowances would decrease the existing build-out yield of the area. The proposal would increase residential units but it significantly decreases office and retail developments. Fiscal information for this proposal will be available at the November 1 meeting.

Planner Robinson stated implementation of the plan would require the adoption of design standards and guidelines. It would be a multi-year project likely over 10 years.

Councilmember Maloney stated he would like to see how the two options presented for the development on Parcel O, where the former Sam's Club is located, would affect the fiscal model.

Mayor Muckle thanked the planning staff and residents for their input at the meetings. He noted the Council will be sensitive to the impacts to the existing neighborhoods.

#### Public Comment

Lynn Miller, representing Albertson's, asked if King Soopers has submitted a proposal for Parcel O, is the City talking to any other retailers, and where are we in that process?

John Leary, 1116 LaFarge Avenue, stated what is existing is generally less than what is proposed. He asked what role this area plays in the City's overall fiscal health. He stated it is important to integrate the land use mix with fiscal considerations. He suggested raising taxes and fees or cutting services or a combination of those rather than allowing new building. Nonresidents are a big revenue generator that subsidize residents' services, we shouldn't replace retail property with residential.

Audrey Debarros, 839 West Mulberry Street, stated she likes the bold vision of the McCaslin Boulevard Small Area Plan. The area is outdated and provides little reason to stop or shop there. She stated the area includes the highly used McCaslin Boulevard transit station and it should be integrated into the regional transit system in the area. Allowing residential in the BRT area will increase use of the transit system and decrease car miles traveled.

Bob Perkins, 405 Fairfield Lane, stated he is concerned with the lane reduction on McCaslin Boulevard. The traffic is already slow and this would make it worse. The City shouldn't allow residential growth as we don't have the infrastructure to support the population growth.

Camilla Donnelly, 2366 Senator Court, doesn't support high density residences in the areas now zoned commercial as it would impact our revenue stream and City services. She does not support the Special Review Use options in the plan.

Bernard Funk, 1104 Hillside Lane, thanked the City for listening to residents in this process including height limitations but worries about the impact of reducing retail uses.

He asked what the impact on the schools would be with this plan. He suggested adding an additional bike lane on McCaslin Boulevard is not needed, perhaps Centennial parkway could be one lane, but not a reduction on McCaslin Boulevard.

Curtis Paxton, 383 Meeker Court, stated he has talked to a number of residents, and he found citizens don't want Louisville to be Boulder. He doesn't think there is market pressure for changing the zoning. He asked Council to consider 1) a discussion of what the total population of Louisville should be 2) what is a real world vision for the area 3) incorporate more of a buffer between existing communities and new areas 4) most residents don't understand the difference between the Small Area Plan and Sam's Club redevelopment.

Sonya Salki, 352 South Lark Avenue, has concerns about the impacts of this plan on the existing residences in the area, noise and traffic will be a nightmare. They will negatively impact the value of my home which is adjacent to the area.

Frost Yarnell, 1109 Hillside Lane, wanted to ask council to take the good things in the plan and consider closely the impact of adding residential to the areas now zoned commercial. New rooftops will only spend their money in Superior.

Charles Hasemen, 247 South Lark Avenue, traffic on McCaslin Boulevard continues to get worse and additional residents will only increase that traffic. He would like to see the lane reduction. He would like Council to stop residential infill. He stated most of the Bus Rapid Transit use is people going to Boulder, we need more commercial and businesses in the area to attract people to spend their money here. He would like to keep one story for those areas adjacent to neighborhoods.

Sherry Sommer, 910 South Palisade Court, agreed with earlier comments. Regarding Parcel O, she likes the existing use and doesn't want to see housing or densification there, she would rather see more office and commercial in the area as a whole.

Chris Hagelin, 1068 Eagle Court, supports the plan for more urban and less suburban land uses for its lower impact on climate change, lower emissions, and a safer bike and pedestrian area.

Cindy Bedell, 662 West Willow Street, likes the livability aspect of the Small Area Plan and traffic is a huge impact on quality of life. She doesn't want to see high density development in the McCaslin Boulevard area. She doesn't see the need for more residential and the loss of commercial areas. She would like to see a dark night ordinance implemented.

Tom Ward, 1145 Hillside Court, stated his primary concern is adding residential and the impact it will have on the school system. Suggests not adding anymore residential until the school system can support it. He asked that a buffer be created between the Hillside

Neighborhood and the commercial areas. He doesn't support reducing the lane on McCaslin Boulevard.

Alex Bradley, 1385 Caledonia Circle, added her concerns that residential growth is impacting schools negatively. Don't make Louisville like Boulder.

Nick Kallan, 967 West Yale Court, is concerned with the impacts of additional residential on the fiscal health of the City. He asked what is the fiscal goal of the city. He is also concerned with traffic impacts.

Mayor Muckle asked the traffic consultant what the traffic impacts would be of the lane removal.

Curtis Rowe, of Kimley Horn the City's traffic consultant, stated the lane removal on McCaslin Boulevard is only from Cherry Street and Via Appia. The third lane at this time is only an auxiliary lane, it doesn't go through at Via Appia or Cherry Street and therefore doesn't affect the through capacity of McCaslin Boulevard's north/south traffic. He stated the traffic plan proposes increased street connections that could reduce traffic on McCaslin Boulevard by almost one-fifth. Approximately one-third of the current traffic using McCaslin Boulevard is nonresident. The plan provides new street connections to offset increasing traffic.

Councilmember Maloney asked about the proposed traffic circle at McCaslin Boulevard and Dahlia. Mr. Rowe Stated a roundabout is an appropriate measure for that intersection and such roundabouts do improve safety compared to a signalized intersection.

Director Zuccaro noted regional traffic impacting Louisville will increase significantly by 2035 with up to 38% being cut through traffic.

Councilmember Loo stated she doesn't support the roundabout. Mayor Pro Tem Lipton asked for the roundabouts to be removed from the plan. Mayor Muckle stated he supports it because they are safer and the through put is much better than a signalized intersection. Councilmember Stolzmann doesn't support it because of the cost. The consensus was to remove the roundabout.

Mayor Muckle supported the proposed road network. Mayor Pro Tem Lipton stated all of the connectivity and road systems are good, but the final decision will be based on fiscal information and he needs the additional fiscal information for that.

Councilmember Keany asked if the zoning changes are impacting the fiscal model. Councilmember Stolzmann stated it could. Councilmember Keany asked if there is no appetite to change retail zoning to residential then why wait for the fiscal model information to make further decisions.

Councilmember Maloney stated the options for Parcel O have varying fiscal impacts which could greatly affect the decision making on this plan. He reiterated his request for this fiscal information.

Mayor Pro Tem Lipton doesn't want to close the door on Transit-Oriented Development in the area. Mayor Muckle agreed. There may not be a current demand for residential but it may happen later. He does support additional office and retail space. There may be a desire for some redevelopment in the area in the future.

Councilmember Loo asked if the Special Review Use options in the plan should be left as proposed. Mayor Muckle would like it left as is. Councilmember Stolzmann would like it removed and leave it as commercial.

Councilmember Keany stated he opposes residential development west of McCaslin Boulevard and opposes the Special Review Use in that area.

Planner Robinson stated the existing zoning for the Colony Square site does allow for residential with a Special Review Use but the Comprehensive Plan does not allow it. To change so that residential is not allowed in Colony Square the Special Review Use option would need to be removed.

Councilmember Stolzmann doesn't oppose the Special Review Use option for the area from Cherry to Dillon, but would like it labeled Commercial in the plan so everyone knows our first choice is commercial in that area.

Mayor Pro Tem Lipton stated however we move forward we should consider changing the existing zoning to protect the residential character behind the shopping areas on Cherry Street. Current use-by-right allows three stories and we should consider changing that to two stories.

Councilmember Leh shared Mayor Pro Tem Lipton's concerns that we need additional fiscal information. He stated everyone states they want a vibrant commercial area on McCaslin Boulevard, the question is how to get it or at least not inhibit it. We need to make sure we make good use of the Bus Rapid Transit on US 36.

Councilmember Stolzmann stated we need to increase transit ridership but there might be other options we need to consider for first and final mile connections. She doesn't support the lane reduction. She would like to see more people using the existing plazas. She doesn't support the HAWK signal. She is not convinced the two-story buffer is what people want; many of those areas are one-story now, particularly behind Lark Avenue.

Councilmember Loo stated she thinks the area north of Cherry and south of the Balfour Memory Unit should continue to be low rise and compatible with residences to the east. Mayor Muckle liked the idea of adding a buffer in this area and assuring it will not have residential. All agreed that is a good idea to not have residential in that area.

Councilmember Keany asked if changes should be made to the area that is currently Balfour to limit the heights or density there. Planner Robinson stated it is limited currently to two stories and is governed by the buffer requirements and transition standards. Councilmember Keany would like setbacks built into the design guidelines for areas adjacent to residential areas. Planner Robinson stated that is standard practice and will be done.

Mayor Muckle stated the public comment against residential is clear and he suggests the area from Dillon to Cherry on the east side remain commercial and have lower heights and a buffer with the existing residential areas. Mayor Pro Tem Lipton agreed to that for this area of McCaslin Boulevard.

Councilmember Loo noted the business community does support some residential in the Small Area Plan and that should be remembered. Mayor Muckle stated that currently there may not be appetite for residential but that may change.

Mayor Muckle asked if there is support for the lane reduction on Centennial. Councilmember Stolzmann likes the path and reduction, but opposes parking on the street. Mayor Muckle likes the idea of the smaller road and the amenities. Councilmember Loo likes the idea of the soft surface median trail in the median of Centennial and reducing the roadway.

Councilmember Loo and Councilmember Stolzmann support the idea of a metro district in the area to support the amenities.

Councilmember Loo doesn't support the lane reduction on McCaslin Boulevard.

There was consensus not to remove the lane on McCaslin Boulevard but there was support to remove lane on Centennial.

*Mayor Pro Tem Lipton left the meeting at 10:15 pm.*

Councilmember Stolzmann stated she would like the location of utilities added to the plan. She stated the area will need high speed internet and cell phone service to support the office uses. Councilmember Loo and Councilmember Leh agreed.

Mayor Muckle would like some sustainability ideas added to the Small Area Plan.

Councilmember Loo asked if for the next meeting staff could provide some information on whether zoning changes would encourage retail property owners to sell for residential uses or if that is not a concern.

Mayor Muckle noted this item will be discussed again on November 1.

**ORDINANCE NO. 1728, SERIES 2016 – AN ORDINANCE APPROVING THE  
VACATION OF A 20-FOOT WIDE UTILITY EASEMENT ON LOT 1A, CENTENNIAL  
VALLEY PARCEL H, THIRD FILING – 1<sup>ST</sup> READING – SET PUBLIC HEARING  
11/01/16**

Item moved to consent agenda.

**CITY ATTORNEY'S REPORT**

City Attorney Light noted the Charter does not allow for Ordinances to be approved on the consent agenda and that they must be read by title and voted on. He read the title of Ordinance No. 1728, Series 2016. Mayor Muckle moved to approve the ordinance on first reading, seconded by Councilmember Stolzmann. All were in approval.

Attorney Light noted the City will be hosting Quasi-Judicial Open Government training on Thursday, October 20.

**COUNCIL COMMENTS, COMMITTEE REPORTS, AND IDENTIFICATION OF  
FUTURE AGENDA ITEMS**

None

**ADJOURN**

MOTION: Mayor Muckle moved for adjournment, seconded by Councilmember Keany. All were in favor. The meeting was adjourned at 10:22 p.m.

---

Robert P. Muckle, Mayor

---

Meredyth Muth, City Clerk